



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,305	11/29/2003	Javier Castaneda	HAN-027	2971
36822 7590 11/16/2007 GORDON & JACOBSON, P.C. 60 LONG RIDGE ROAD SUITE 407 STAMFORD, CT 06902			EXAMINER RAMANA, ANURADHA	
			ART UNIT 3733	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

11

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/724,305

Applicant(s)

CASTANEDA, JAVIER

Examiner

Anu Ramana

Art Unit

3733

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 33-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 33-39, 42-50 and 53-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 40, 41, 51 and 52 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 33-36, 38, 39, 45-47, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Esser (US 6,096,040).

Esser discloses a volar plate including: a distal head portion angled relative to the body portion; a first plurality of holes each hole having its own predefined central axis, the holes adapted to receive bone screws; and a second plurality of second holes, the holes adapted to receive bone screws wherein the second plurality of second holes is displaced along the head portion and the central axes of the first set can be chosen such that they extend between and non-parallel relative to the central axes of the second plurality (Fig. 13 and col. 9, lines 37-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esser (US 6,096,040) in view of Pawluk (US 4,955,886).

Esser discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for the claimed number of holes.

Pawluk teaches varying the number of screw holes in a bone plate to reduce excessive strains on screws (col. 7, lines 26-29).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have varied the number of holes in the Esser plate, as taught by Pawluk, to reduce excessive strains on screws.

Claims 42-43 and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esser (US 6,096,040) in view of Boucher et al. (US 5,443,509) and Talos et al. (US 5,709,686).

Esser discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for the use of screws with threads offset by 180 degrees.

Boucher et al. teach the use of threads offset by 180 degrees for unbiased starting and fast advance of a screw.

Talos et al. teach providing threaded holes in a plate so that screws can be rigidly screwed into the plate (Fig. 5 and col. 1, lines 29-32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used screws with threads offset by 180 degrees, as taught by Boucher et al., for fast advance of the screw. Further, it would have been obvious to providing matching threads in the screw holes of Esser, as taught by Talos et al., so that screws can be rigidly screwed into the plate.

Claims 44 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esser (US 6,096,040) in view of Boucher et al. (US 5,443,509) and Talos et al. (US 5,709,686), further in view of Bieri (US 3,707,107).

The combination of Esser, Boucher et al. and Talos et al. discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for the thread depths.

Bieri teaches providing a difference in depth of inner and outer threads in a threaded connection for increased axial play for improved stress and force distribution (Figs. 1 and 2, col. 2, lines 65-67 and col. 3, lines 1-23).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a difference in thread depths in the device of the combination of Esser, Boucher et al. and Talos et al., as taught by Bieri, for improved stress and force distribution. Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the head of the screw with a thread depth no more than one-half the thread depth in the holes, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 40, 41, 51 and 52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on September 4, 2007 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

The Terminal Disclaimer filed on September 4, 2007 has been approved. Accordingly, the double patenting rejection made in the previous office action has been overcome.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.


Art Unit: 3733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

November 13, 2007


ANURADHA RAMANA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700